#### **3DGenomics**

Marc A. Marti-Renom Genome Biology Group (CNAG) Structural Genomics Group (CRG)







### **Structural Genomics Group**

http://www.marciuslab.org









## **Resolution Gap**

Marti-Renom, M. A. & Mirny, L. A. PLoS Comput Biol 7, e1002125 (2011)





## Level I: Radial genome organization

Takizawa, T., Meaburn, K. J. & Misteli, T. The meaning of gene positioning. Cell 135, 9–13 (2008).





## Level II: Euchromatin vs heterochromatin



Nanoscopy



#### **Euchromatin:**

chromatin that is located away from the nuclear lamina, is generally less densely packed, and contains actively transcribed genes

#### Heterochromatin:

chromatin that is near the nuclear lamina, tightly condensed, and transcriptionally silent

Adapted from Cell, 160(6), 1145-1158. 2015



## Level III: Lamina-genome interactions



Most genes in Lamina Associated Domains are transcriptionally silent, suggesting that **lamina-genome interactions** are widely involved in the control of **gene expression** 

Adapted from Molecular Cell 38, 603-613, 2010



## Level IV: Higher-order organization

Dekker, J., Marti-Renom, M. A. & Mirny, L. A. Exploring the three-dimensional organization of genomes: interpreting chromatin interaction data. Nat Rev Genet 14, 390–403 (2013).







### Level V: Chromatin loops



Loops bring distal genomic regions in close proximity to one another

This in turn can have profound effects on gene transcription

Enhancers can be thousands of kilobases away from their target genes in any direction (or even on a separate chromosome)



## Level V: Loop-extrusion as a driving force

Fudenberg, G., Imakaev, M., Lu, C., Goloborodko, A., Abdennur, N., & Mirny, L. A. (2015). Formation of Chromosomal Domains by Loop Extrusion. bioRxiv.





#### Level VI: Nucleosome



Adapted from Richard E. Ballermann, 2012



## **Complex genome organization**

Cavalli, G. & Misteli, T. Functional implications of genome topology. Nat Struct Mol Biol 20, 290–299 (2013).





# **Modeling Genomes**

Marti-Renom, M. A. & Mirny, L. A. PLoS Comput Biol 7, e1002125 (2011)





#### **Experiments**



#### Computation





#### Biomolecular structure determination 2D-NOESY data



#### Chromosome structure determination 5C data



# **Chromosome Conformation Capture**



Hakim, O., & Misteli, T. (2012). SnapShot: Chromosome Confirmation Capture. Cell, 148(5), 1068–1068.e2.



### - some some pture

	3C	5C	4C	Hi-C	ChIP-loop	ChIA-PET
Principle	Contacts between two defined regions <sup>3,17</sup>	All against all <sup>4,18</sup>	All contacts with a point of interest <sup>14</sup>	All against all <sup>10</sup>	Contacts between two defined regions associated with a given protein <sup>8</sup>	All contacts associated with a given protein <sup>6</sup>
Coverage	Commonly < 1Mb	Commonly < 1Mb	Genome-wide	Genome-wide	Commonly < 1Mb	Genome-wide
Detection	Locus-specific PCR	HT-sequencing	HT-sequencing	HT-sequencing	Locus-specific qPCR	HT-sequencing
Limitations	Low throughput and coverage	Limited coverage	Limited to one viewpoint		Rely on one chromatin-associated factor, disregarding other contacts	
Examples	Determine interaction between a known promoter and enhancer	Determine comprehensively higher-order chromosome structure in a defined region	All genes and genomic elements associated with a known LCR	All intra- and interchromosomal associations	Determine the role of specific transcription factors in the interaction between a known promoter and enhancer	Map chromatin interaction network of a known transcription factor
Derivatives	PCR with TaqMan probes <sup>7</sup> or melting curve analysis <sup>1</sup>		Circular chromosome conformation capture <sup>20</sup> , open- ended chromosome conformation capture <sup>19</sup> , inverse 3C <sup>12</sup> , associated chromosome trap (ACT) <sup>11</sup> , affinity enrichment of bait- ligated junctions <sup>2</sup>	Yeast <sup>5,15</sup> , tethered conformation capture <sup>9</sup>		ChIA-PET combined 3C-ChIP-cloning (6C), <sup>16</sup> enhanced 4C (e4C) <sup>13</sup>

Hakim, O., & Misteli, T. (2012). SnapShot: Chromosome Confirmation Capture. Cell, 148(5), 1068–1068.e2.



## ... and one more thing



# 



Kaplan, N., & Dekker, J. (2013). High-throughput genome scaffolding from in vivo DNA interaction frequency. Nature Biotechnology, 31(12), 1143–1147.

CRG Centre for Genomic Regulation

Predicted chromosome

# Chromosome Conformation Capture for meta genomics



Beitel, C. W., Froenicke, L., Lang, J. M., Korf, I. F., Michelmore, R. W., Eisen, J. A., & Darling, A. E. (2014). Strain- and plasmid-level deconvolution of a synthetic metagenome by sequencing proximity ligation products. doi:10.7287/peerj.preprints.260v1



# Modeling 3D Genomes

Baù, D. & Marti-Renom, M. A. Methods 58, 300–306 (2012).





## Examples...





#### Human &-globin domain





#### Human $\alpha$ -globin domain

ENm008 genomic structure and environment



The ENCODE data for ENm008 region was obtained from the UCSC Genome Browser tracks for: RefSeq annotated genes, Affymetrix/CSHL expression data (Gingeras Group at Cold Spring Harbor), Duke/NHGRI DNasel Hypersensitivity data (Crawford Group at Duke University), and Histone Modifications by Broad Institute ChIP-seq (Bernstein Group at Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT).

ENCODE Consortium. Nature (2007) vol. 447 (7146) pp. 799-816



#### Human $\alpha$ -globin domain

ENm008 genomic structure and environment





### Representation





# Scoring





#### Optimization





# Clustering





# Not just one solution









# The "Chromatin Globule" model





D. Baù et al. Nat Struct Mol Biol (2011) 18:107-14 A. Sanyal et al. Current Opinion in Cell Biology (2011) 23:325-33.



## Caulobacter crescentus genome





#### The 3D architecture of Caulobacter Crescentus

4,016,942 bp & 3,767 genes



#### **5C interaction matrix**

**ELLIPSOID** for Caulobacter cresentus






## 3D model building with the 5C + IMP approach









## Genome organization in Caulobacter crescentus





## Moving the parS sites 400 Kb away from Ori





## Moving the parS sites results in whole genome rotation!





## Genome architecture in Caulobacter





M.A. Umbarger, et al. Molecular Cell (2011) 44:252–264



### **On TADs and hormones**





## Progesterone-regulated transcription in breast cancer



Vicent et al 2011, Wright et al 2012, Ballare et al 2012

> 2,000 genes Up-regulated> 2,000 genes Down-regulated

**Regulation in 3D?** 



# Experimental design





# Are there TADs? how robust?





# Are TADs homogeneous?





## **Do TADs respond differently to Pg treatment?**







# Do TADs respond differently to Pg treatment?



Pg induced fold change per TAD (6h)





# Modeling 3D TADs



61 genomic regions containing 209 TADs covering 267Mb





# How TADs respond structurally to Pg?







# How TADs respond structurally to Pg?









# Model for TAD regulation





# Structuring the **COLORs** of chromatin





### Fly Chromatin **COLORs**

Filion et al. (2010). Cell, 143(2), 212–224.







### Fly Chromatin **COLORs**

Hou et al. (2012). Molecular Cell, 48(3), 471–484.





#### Structural properties

50 1Mb regions. 10 enriched for each color.



#### Structural **COLORs**



#### Structural **COLORs**



#### Structural **COLORs**



	Position on chr2L (kb)					
16	000	16200	16400	16600	16800	1700
	1	1	1	1		
MDC15			1		d	
SU(VAR)3-7	and a second sec	- Januara and Areadards	A los anos and a formation	A have a second all an outer of	maker of a state the state of a state of the state	and a state of the
SU(VAR)3-9		- James and a state			and a second sec	
HP6	supportant and a second second second	- farmers			and a surply of the surple of	Andrew strategies
HP1	description and a second secon	- destantion and the state of the	a the second the second stands and stands the second stands at the second stand stands at the second stand stands at the second stand stands at the second stands at the second standstandstat the sec	a street of a substantial substantial second	and and the second s	***********************
	and the second s	a contact and and there is an		a da a ser da a da	A state of the second stat	Andrew Andrew
ASF1	anothe designation of a	a station of the state of the state	and and a second s	I taken a shall a ship sans	and a superior and the second state of the second	Alter takens
MUS209	Antopologian and an a		the second secon		La state - and the ask of the part of the second state of the	the second second
TOP1	Anterestation and a second second	n provinsional and the state	and the second s	·	Barder da Marcall React and the authorization and a second second	-
RPII18	and the second sec	in Antiperson with some	and a second sec	a had a second a second a second s		
RPD3			and the state of t	-		And the Distance
CDK7	and the second s		and he are the second second second second		and the second state and the	Superior of the state of the
DSP1	demonstration of the	to Annenprostunteriti dallante	and any other second state and a	ربيرم من <del>ك مع العام</del>		
DF31		······································	and the second s	I have a start way and a second s	the or and the survey of the self of the second symplectic second	aquinte anti-les
MAX PCAE		a all and a second s		a bill a second a bill as also and a		faile and the second second
ASH2	distant and a second se		tale production of the state of	d be		and drawn of the state of the
HP1c		· parane survey balled	and the second of the second second second	d Manager and state and street of the	the sea and it does not a subscription of the second secon	
CtBP		n ythereaster an an and a state of the	-	A billion and an and a state of the second	and the second day of the second second second	Andread and designing
JRA	dimmentanya langa a	a and the second s	the factor of the second se	d billion & provide the data server	the second s	Adapted and and
ECB ECB	Abberra and a second share a		1	d belle and an end of the second		Substantia and service
BCD	distribute to a specific the second s		ale and a second	1 1 Mar	مريد الله الله الم حديد المركز ما مركز الم	Shere's surface
MED31	Anthersteinergentlehand h	- manyungungan	and a second sec	I have a state of the second	the second second by and the second second	where drawn
SU(VAR)2-10	to inclusion and all all all all all all all all all al	a susception where a		I have a subscription of the second second	and a star a star and a star a star a star a star	advantation operation
LOLAL	and the second s	- Mary and the state of the state	the second s	I then a shirt have an		
CG31367	da a contra contra da a	a count and an a south in the same	add and many search the same be	d the second deside and	Warmen at the other surrent the first and a ball of the	maken thereas
ACT5C	fairful and a state of the set		Address & and a second day		an and a st started able as a strend	and a contract
TIP60		- stansarderstation	aller a be any parent beaution	A between the boundary and	an our shi the second and the second strong	
MNT	alantabulanun yaparahalanan k	a printer and a state of the st	and the second sec	4 http://www.walitation.org		integration exercises
SIN3A TRD	alampharana a	- states and the state		d billion or a stability of the state of the		Selected second
DWG	and and a second	· · ··································	delays the same on a date date.			and the second second
PHOL	Hereitersteinersteinen in		all and a second second second		and a second de la factore de la secondada	Anterna distant
PROD	copper annexity same a	a preserve and a state of the second state of	aline and a second second second second	a adates a second and a second	a have a shade of a second to be a set of the second	ananan dinanan
BEAF32b			a to be seen a second	to balance large and dependent of the second	a sha de la constance a la fanda a da la constance a la constance da la constance da la constance da la constan	and the second second
	and an an an and a state of the		al and a second second second second			and the second second
D1					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
H1						
SUUR	and the second second in the second second in the second s	a spectrum with the		A list and a list of the state	and the second sec	And the second second
EFF	Alana a makana ang dapat sa	a farmer and the state of the	a design of the second s	And the state of a second design of the		Autority and a Manadapar
GRO	and a second and the second se	a more budgets de buges	Address and the state of any house	I have not a fint of and	and a solution of the state of	Constant Internet
PHO	Apriliant and providence of	a stand and a stand	A day on a many on these assessmesting .		and the second descent of a second state of the	
CTCF			and an and a second sec	A 10-100 - 100	and a state a difference of the same	
PC			and the second sec	n	A THE OWNER AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER AND A DESCRIPTION O	and descent subplicities
E(Z)	and the second s	a contract of the second second second	All and a second se	· best sheet of a safety day of a local sheet day	in the second seco	Construction of the state
SCE		· destant and a state of the st	and the second s	· ····································	the said and a share of the said of the sa	and a state of the

#### Are the models correct?







Fraser (2009) Genome Biology Ferraiuolo (2010) Nucleic Acids Research



Kalhor (2011) Nature Biotechnology Tjong (2012) Genome Research



Umbarger (2011) Molecular Cell

Duan (2010) Nature

Baù (2011) Nature Structural & Molecular Biology

Nucleic Acids Research Advance Access published March 23, 2015

Nucleic Acids Research, 2015 1 doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv221

#### Assessing the limits of restraint-based 3D modeling of genomes and genomic domains

Marie Trussart<sup>1,2</sup>, François Serra<sup>3,4</sup>, Davide Baù<sup>3,4</sup>, Ivan Junier<sup>2,3</sup>, Luís Serrano<sup>1,2,5</sup> and Marc A. Marti-Renom<sup>3,4,5,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>EMBL/CRG Systems Biology Research Unit, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Barcelona, Spain, <sup>2</sup>Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain, <sup>3</sup>Gene Regulation, Stem Cells and Cancer Program, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Barcelona, Spain, <sup>4</sup>Genome Biology Group, Centre Nacional d'Anàlisi Genòmica (CNAG), Barcelona, Spain and <sup>5</sup>Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain

Received January 16, 2015; Revised February 16, 2015; Accepted February 22, 2015

#### ABSTRACT

Restraint-based modeling of genomes has been recently explored with the advent of Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C-based) experiments. We previously developed a reconstruction method to resolve the 3D architecture of both prokaryotic and eukaryotic genomes using 3C-based data. These models were congruent with fluorescent imaging validation. However, the limits of such methods have not systematically been assessed. Here we propose the first evaluation of a mean-field restraint-based reconstruction of genomes by considering diverse chromosome architectures and different levels of data noise and structural variability. The results show that: first, current scoring functions for 3D reconstruction correlate with the accuracy of the models; second, reconstructed models are robust to noise but sensitive to structural variability; third, the local structure organization of genomes, such as Topologically Associating Domains, results in more accurate models; fourth, to a certain extent, the models capture the intrinsic structural variability in the input matrices and fifth, the accuracy of the models can be a priori predicted by analyzing the properties of the interaction matrices. In summary, our work provides a systematic analysis of the limitations of a meanfield restrain-based method, which could be taken into consideration in further development of methods as well as their applications.

#### INTRODUCTION

expression regulation and replication (1-6). The advent of the so-called Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C) as-says (7), which allowed identifying chromatin-looping interactions between pairs of loci, helped deciphering some of the key elements organizing the genomes. High-throughput derivations of genome-wide 3C-based assays were established with Hi-C technologies (8) for an unbiased identification of chromatin interactions. The resulting genome in-teraction matrices from Hi-C experiments have been extensively used for computationally analyzing the organization of genomes and genomic domains (5). In particular, a sig-nificant number of new approaches for modeling the 3D organization of genomes have recently flourished (9-14). The main goal of such approaches is to provide an accurate 3D representation of the bi-dimensional interaction matrices, which can then be more easily explored to extract biolog-ical insights. One type of methods for building 3D models from interaction matrices relies on the existence of a limited number of conformational states in the cell. Such methods are regarded as mean-field approaches and are able to capture, to a certain degree, the structural variability around these mean structures (15).

We recently developed a mean-field method for modeling 3D structures of genomes and genomic domains based on 3C interaction data (9). Our approach, called TADbit, was developed around the Integrative Modeling Platform (IMP, http://integrativemodeing.org), a general framework for restraint-based modeling of 3D bio-molecular structures (16). Briefly, our method uses chromatin interaction frequencies derived from experiments as a proxy of spatial proximity between the ligation products of the 3C libraries. Two fragments of DNA that interact with high frequency are dynamically placed close in space in our models while two fragments that do not interact as often will be kept apart. Our method has been successfully applied to model the structures of genomes and genomic domains in eukaryote and prokarvote organisms (17-19). In all of our studies. the final models were partially validated by assessing their

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +34 934 020 542; Fax: +34 934 037 279; Email: mmarti@pcb.ub.cat

Recent studies of the three-dimensional (3D) conforma-

tion of genomes are revealing insights into the organiza-

tion and the regulation of biological processes, such as gene

© The Author(s) 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Trussart, et al. (2015), Nucleic Acids Research.

Junier (2012) Nucleic Acids Research

Hu (2013) PLoS Computational Biology

### Toy models



by Ivan Junier

#### Toy interaction matrices



1Mb

#### Reconstructing toy models



TADs & higher-res are "good"



Noise is "OK"



#### Structural variability is "NOT OK" + structural variability 150 0 125 0 0 **♦** • **♦** 0 **°** ⊗ © r = -0.67 25 0 0.4 0.5 0.6 **8.0** 0.9 1.0 0.7 **TADbit-SCC**

#### Can we predict the accuracy of the models?



Skewness "side effect"



#### Can we predict the accuracy of the models?

# MMP = -0.0002 \* Size + 0.0335 \* SK - 0.0229 \* KU + 0.0069 \* SEV + 0.8126



Higher-res is "good" put your \$\$ in sequencing

Noise is "OK"

no need to worry much

#### Structural variability is "NOT OK"

homogenize your cell population!

...but we can differentiate between noise and structural variability

and we can a priori predict the accuracy of the models



Marie Trussart François Serra Davide Baù

Gireesh K. Bogu Yasmina Cuartero François le Dily David Dufour Irene Farabella Silvia Galan Mike Goodstadt Francisco Martínez-Jiménez Paula Soler Yannick Spill Marco di Stefano

in collaboration with Ivan Junier (Université Joseph Fourier) & Luís Serrano (CRG)





http://marciuslab.org
http://3DGenomes.org
http://cnag.crg.eu