Structure determination of genomes and genomic domains by satisfaction of spatial restraints

Marc A. Marti-Renom Structural Genomics Group (ICREA, CNAG-CRG)

http://marciuslab.org
http://3DGenomes.org
http://cnag.crg.eu





Complex genome organization

Takizawa, T., Meaburn, K. J. & Misteli, T. The meaning of gene positioning. Cell 135, 9–13 (2008).



Complex genome organization

Cavalli, G. & Misteli, T. Functional implications of genome topology. Nat Struct Mol Biol 20, 290–299 (2013).



Resolution Gap

Marti-Renom, M. A. & Mirny, L. A. PLoS Comput Biol 7, e1002125 (2011)

Know	edge								
A A A A					IDM			$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7
								DNA length	
10 ⁰		10 ³			10 ⁶			10 ⁹	nt
								Volume	1
10 ⁻⁹		10 ⁻⁶	10	3		10 ⁰		10 ³	μm ³
								Time	
10 ⁻¹⁰	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻²		10 ⁰	10 ²	10 ³	S
									1
10-3			10-2				1.0-1	Resolution	-
10 ⁻³			10 ⁻²				10 ⁻¹		μ
							4		

Hybrid Method Baù, D. & Marti-Renom, M. A. Methods 58, 300–306 (2012).

Experiments



Computation

Chromosome Conformation Capture

Dekker, J., Rippe, K., Dekker, M., & Kleckner, N. (2002). Science, 295(5558), 1306–1311. Lieberman-Aiden, E., et al. (2009). Science, 326(5950), 289–293.









Chromosome Conformation Capture

CROSSLINK		Protein	Protein		
CUTTING	Endonuclease digestion				Sonication
LIGATION	->->		Biotin dCTP fill in	Immunoprecipitation	Immunoprecipitation biotinilated linkers
REVERSE CROSSLINKS			B B		B
DETECTION	Multiplexed amplification	Digestion with four base cutter	Sonicate		Mmel digestion
PCR with specific primers	PCR with universal primers	Ligation	Pull down	PCR with specific primers	Pull down
Contact library		Inverse PCR	B ^B B	<u> </u>	B B
COMPUTATIONAL ANALYSIS					
3C	5C	4C	Hi-C	ChIP-loop	ChIA-PET

Restraint-based Modeling Baù, D. & Marti-Renom, M. A. Methods 58, 300–306 (2012).



Biomolecular structure determination 2D-NOESY data



Chromosome structure determination 3C-based data



http://3DGenomes.org



FastQ files to Maps

Map analysis

Model building

Model analysis



http://3DGenomes.org http://www.integrativemodeling.org





Baù, D. et al. Nat Struct Mol Biol (2011) Umbarger, M. A. et al. Mol Cell (2011) Le Dily, F. et al. Genes & Dev (2014)

nature structural & molecular biology	
The three-dimensional foldi domain reveals formation of bolde Bab ^{4,4} , Amariya Sanya ^{2,4} , Bryan R Lajoic ^{2,4} , Er Job Dekre ² , et Alarri, Recom ³ We devolved a space dynamic blue combines demonstrate redenoting a space of general blue combines demonstrate redenoting and the space of the state of the state space.	Chromatin globules nidio Capriotti ¹ , Meg Byren ³ , Jeanne B Lawrence ³ , giptino to naby domain looping interaction ^{7,10} , 2C based anapy here been used to show the typefic densem such as grounders, enhances and innulinear set involved in the formation of chromatin
dimensional models of chemistics at the merginese scale, we purplet this approach to the Pohatfit biomain to human chemistaness 14, cantaining the a globin issue, which is real and the scale of the pohatic biomain to human formation of the scale scale of the scale of the local angulatory theorems. In structure, we find the scale of the scale scale of the scale of the scale of the CMU22Ta Test. The models accurately reproduce the biomain found in registratory theorems the scale of the scale of the scale scale of the scale of the scale of the CMU22Ta Test. The models are formed in KSE2 CMU22Ta Test. The models are found in KSE2 CMU22Ta Test. The models are found in the Scale CMU22Ta Test. The models are found in the SCALE CMU22Ta Test. The scale of the the scale of the scale of the CMU22Ta Test. The scale of the the the scale of the the scale of the scale of the the scale of the the scale of the the scale of the scale of the the scale of the the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the the scale of the the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the the scale of the scale of the the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale	$logs^{2-1/3} > The frequencies at which hot interest reflect domains index of the start of the$
Currently, effects are aftered at producing high-resolution ground materians in which the poststants of the strend materians on specific materians in the strend materians. The strend materians are also there are also been linear representation do not miking in magnetic margins magnet the which years of normal materians compares in magnetic magnets the strend by specific functional dimension compares in the strend materians hyperbase dimension of the strend materians are also strend materians. The strend materians are also strend in the strend materians and the strend materians of the strend materians. The strend materians are also strend materians of the strend materians are also be strend materians of the strend materians. The strend materians are also the strend materians of the strend materians are also strend materians. Also are approximated at a strend materians are also the strend materians of the strend materians. The strend materians are also the strend materians are also are also also also also at the strend materians are also also associations of characterians are also strend materians. The strend materians are also strend materians are also strend materians are also also also also also also also also	this approximation determines the higher-order spatial argumation of 300 Additions (16) gene-dense domain barrel and the ratio of 300 Additions (16) gene-dense domain barrel and the of objective and the superscend by an argumation of the domain composed, to the [150000] and the superscendent of the method of the superscender of the superscender of the super- scender of the superscender of the superscender of the super- scender of the superscender of the superscender of the super- scender of the superscender of the superscender of the super- method of the superscender of the superscender of the super- scender of the superscender of the superscender of the super- barrow of the superscender of the superscender of the super- scender of the superscender of the superscender of the super- scender of the superscence of the superscender of the super- scender of the superscence of the superscender of the super- scender of the superscence of the superscender of the super- scender of the superscence of the superscender of the super- scender of the superscence of the superscender of the super- scender of the superscence of the superscence of the superscender of the superscender of the superscence of the superscender of the super- scence of the superscence of the superscence of the superscender of the super- scence of the superscence of the superscenc
¹ Structural Genomics Unit, Bioinformatics and Genomics Department, Centro de Espresion, Department of Biochemistry and Biolecular Pharmacelaga, Universite (Biologa), University of Manachawath Mindral School, Noreaster, Nanachawa adversared to 10. (ph. doka/whumasmed.edu) or WJAR-8. (mmerel@kgi/e.u.) Received 23 November 2009; accorded 23 Segtember 2010; published online 15	of Massachuseths Medical School, Worcester, Massachuseths, USA. ² Department of tts, USA. ⁴ These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence should be







Progesterone-regulated transcription in breast cancer



Vicent et al 2011, Wright et al 2012, Ballare et al 2012

> 2,000 genes Up-regulated> 2,000 genes Down-regulated

Regulation in 3D?

Experimental design



Are there TADs? how robust?



Are TADs homogeneous?



Do TADs respond differently to Pg treatment?





Do TADs respond differently to Pg treatment?



Modeling 3D TADs



61 genomic regions containing 209 TADs covering 267Mb



How TADs respond structurally to Pg?





How TADs respond structurally to Pg?





Model for TAD regulation



TADs are functional units

Lupiáñez, et al. (2015). Cell, 1–15.



TADs are functional units

Hnisz, D., et al. (2016). Science, on line



Are the models correct?









Fraser (2009) Genome Biology Ferraiuolo (2010) Nucleic Acids Research



Kalhor (2011) Nature Biotechnology Tjong (2012) Genome Research



Umbarger (2011) Molecular Cell

Duan (2010) Nature

Baù (2011) Nature Structural & Molecular Biology

100 nm

Nucleic Acids Research Advance Access published March 23, 2015

Nucleic Acids Research, 2015 1 doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv221

Assessing the limits of restraint-based 3D modeling of genomes and genomic domains

Marie Trussart^{1,2}, François Serra^{3,4}, Davide Baù^{3,4}, Ivan Junier^{2,3}, Luís Serrano^{1,2,5} and Marc A. Marti-Renom^{3,4,5,*}

¹EMBL/CRG Systems Biology Research Unit, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Barcelona, Spain, ²Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain, ³Gene Regulation, Stem Cells and Cancer Program, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Barcelona, Spain, ⁴Genome Biology Group, Centre Nacional d'Anàlisi Genòmica (CNAG), Barcelona, Spain and ⁵Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain

Received January 16, 2015; Revised February 16, 2015; Accepted February 22, 2015

ABSTRACT

Restraint-based modeling of genomes has been recently explored with the advent of Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C-based) experiments. We previously developed a reconstruction method to resolve the 3D architecture of both prokaryotic and eukaryotic genomes using 3C-based data. These models were congruent with fluorescent imaging validation. However, the limits of such methods have not systematically been assessed. Here we propose the first evaluation of a mean-field restraint-based reconstruction of genomes by considering diverse chromosome architectures and different levels of data noise and structural variability. The results show that: first, current scoring functions for 3D reconstruction correlate with the accuracy of the models: second, reconstructed models are robust to noise but sensitive to structural variability; third, the local structure organization of genomes, such as Topologically Associating Domains, results in more accurate models; fourth, to a certain extent, the models capture the intrinsic structural variability in the input matrices and fifth, the accuracy of the models can be a priori predicted by analyzing the properties of the interaction matrices. In summary, our work provides a systematic analysis of the limitations of a meanfield restrain-based method, which could be taken into consideration in further development of methods as well as their applications.

INTRODUCTION

expression regulation and replication (1-6). The advent of the so-called Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C) as-says (7), which allowed identifying chromatin-looping interactions between pairs of loci, helped deciphering some of the key elements organizing the genomes. High-throughput derivations of genome-wide 3C-based assays were established with Hi-C technologies (8) for an unbiased identification of chromatin interactions. The resulting genome interaction matrices from Hi-C experiments have been extensively used for computationally analyzing the organization of genomes and genomic domains (5). In particular, a sig-nificant number of new approaches for modeling the 3D organization of genomes have recently flourished (9-14). The main goal of such approaches is to provide an accurate 3D representation of the bi-dimensional interaction matrices, which can then be more easily explored to extract biolog-ical insights. One type of methods for building 3D models from interaction matrices relies on the existence of a limited number of conformational states in the cell. Such methods are regarded as mean-field approaches and are able to capture, to a certain degree, the structural variability around these mean structures (15).

We recently developed a mean-field method for modeling 3D structures of genomes and genomic domains based on 3C interaction data (9). Our approach, called TADbit, was developed around the Integrative Modeling Platform (IMP, http://integrativemodeing.org), a general framework for restraint-based modeling of 3D bio-molecular structures (16). Briefly, our method uses chromatin interaction frequencies derived from experiments as a proxy of spatial proximity between the ligation products of the 3C libraries. Two fragments of DNA that interact with high frequency are dynamically placed close in space in our models while two fragments that do not interact as often will be kept apart. Our method has been successfully applied to model the structures of genomes and genomic domains in eukaryote and prokaryote organisms (17–19). In all of our studies, the final models were partially validated by assessing their

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +34 934 020 542; Fax: +34 934 037 279; Email: mmarti@pcb.ub.cat

Recent studies of the three-dimensional (3D) conforma-

tion of genomes are revealing insights into the organization and the regulation of biological processes, such as gene

© The Author(s) 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Trussart, et al. (2015), Nucleic Acids Research.

Junier (2012) Nucleic Acids Research

Hu (2013) PLoS Computational Biology

Toy models



by Ivan Junier

Toy interaction matrices



Reconstructing toy models



TADs & higher-res are "good"



Noise is "OK"



Structural variability is "NOT OK" + structural variability 150 0 125 0 0 ♦ • 0 **°** ♦ r = -0.67 25 0 0.4 0.5 0.6 **8.0** 0.9 1.0 0.7 **TADbit-SCC**

Can we predict the accuracy of the models?



Skewness "side effect"



Can we predict the accuracy of the models?

MMP = -0.0002 * Size + 0.0335 * SK - 0.0229 * KU + 0.0069 * SEV + 0.8126



Higher-res is "good" put your \$\$ in sequencing

Noise is "OK"

no need to worry much

Structural variability is "NOT OK"

homogenize your cell population!

...but we can differentiate between noise and structural variability

and we can a priori predict the accuracy of the models



François le Dily Marie Trussart Davide Baù

Gireesh K. Bogu Yasmina Cuartero David Dufour Irene Farabella Silvia Galan Mike Goodstadt Francisco Martínez-Jiménez François Serra Paula Soler Yannick Spill Marco di Stefano

in collaboration with Ivan Junier (Université Joseph Fourier), Miguel Beato (CRG) & Luís Serrano (CRG)



