

3DGenomics

Marc A. Marti-Renom

http://marciuslab.org http://cnag.eu http://crg.eu



All you will see in the screen will be stored here:

l encourage you to:

You can ask for question any time

http://sgt.cnag.eu/www/presentations/









Level I: Radial genome organization

Takizawa, T., Meaburn, K. J. & Misteli, T. The meaning of gene positioning. Cell 135, 9–13 (2008).

Radial position Physical association



Level II: Euchromatin vs heterochromatin

Electron microscopy



Level III: Lamina-genome interactions







internal chromatin (mostly active) lamina-associated domains (repressed)

Genes

% mRNA

Adapted from Molecular Cell 38, 603-613, 2010

Level IV: Higher-order organization

Dekker, J., Marti-Renom, M. A. & Mirny, L. A. Nat Rev Genet 14, 390–403 (2013).



Compartments





Level V: Chromatin loops







Level VI: Nucleosome

Complex genome organization

Cavalli, G. & Misteli, T. Functional implications of genome topology. Nat Struct Mol Biol 20, 290–299 (2013).



Chromosome Conformation Capture

Dekker, J., Rippe, K., Dekker, M., & Kleckner, N. (2002). Science, 295(5558), 1306–1311. Lieberman-Aiden, E., et al. (2009). Science, 326(5950), 289–293.





Chromosome Conformation Capture



Hakim, O., & Misteli, T. (2012). SnapShot: Chromosome Confirmation Capture. Cell, 148(5), 1068-

ARTICLE

Single-cell Hi-C reveals cell-to-cell variability in chromosome structure

Takashi Nagano¹*, Yaniv Lubling²*, Tim J. Stevens³*, Stefan Schoenfelder¹, Eitan Yaffe², Wendy Dean⁴, Ernest D. Laue³, Amos Tanay² & Peter Fraser¹

LETTER

Capturing pairwise and multi-way chromosomal conformations using chromosomal walks Pedro Olivares-Chauvet¹, Zohar Mukamel¹, Aviezer Lifshitz¹, Omer Schwartzman¹, Noa Oded Elkayam¹, Yaniv Lubling¹, Gintaras Deikus², Robert P. Sebra² & Amos Tanay¹



ARTICLES

Resource

doi:10.1038/nature12593

doi:10.1038/nature2015

Enhancer hubs and loop collisions identified from single-allele topologies

Amin Allahyar^{1,2,7}, Carlo Vermeulen^{3,7}, Britta A. M. Bouwman³, Peter H. L. Krijger³, Marjon J. A. M. Verstegen³, Geert Geeven³, Melissa van Kranenburg³, Mark Pieterse³, Roy Straver¹, Judith H. I. Haarhuis⁴, Kees Jalink⁵, Hans Teunissen⁶, Ivo J. Renkens¹, Wigard P. Kloosterman¹, Benjamin D. Rowland⁴, Elzo de Wit^{®6}, Jeroen de Ridder^{®1*} and Wouter de Laat³

Cell

Higher-Order Inter-chromosomal Hubs Shape 3D Genome Organization in the Nucleus



Authors Sofia A. Quinodoz. Noah Ollikainen Barbara Tabak, ..., Patrick McDonel Manuel Garber, Mitchell Guttman

Correspondence mguttman@caltech.edu

nature **COMMUNICATIONS**

ARTICLE

Chromatin conformation analysis of primary patient tissue using a low input Hi-C method Noelia Díaz ^[0], Kai Kruse ^[1], Tabea Erdmann², Annette M. Staiger^{3,4,5}, German Ott³, Georg Lenz² & Juan M. Vaquerizas 🔞

nature genetics

ARTICLES

Liquid chromatin Hi-C characterizes compartment-dependent chromatin interaction dynamics

Houda Belaghzal^{1,7}, Tyler Borrman^{2,7}, Andrew D. Stephens³, Denis L. Lafontaine¹, Sergey V. Venev^[0], Zhiping Weng⁰², John F. Marko^{4,5} and Job Dekker^{01,6}

Cell

Lineage-Specific Genome Architecture Links **Enhancers and Non-coding Disease Variants to Target Gene Promoters**

Graphical Abstract

17 human primary blood cell types 31,253 promoters asseassed with promoter capture Hi-C 698,187 unique interactions across cell types

Authors

Biola M. Javierre, Oliver S. Burren, Steven P. Wilder, ..., Chris Wallace, Mikhail Spivakov, Peter Fraser

Low input capture Hi-C (liCHi-C) identifies promoter-enhancer interactions at high-resolution

| Received: 18 May 2022 | Laureano Tomás-Daza ^{1,2,14} , Llorenç Rovirosa ^{1,14} , Paula López-Martí ^{1,2} , Andrea Nieto-Aliseda ¹ , François Serra [©] ¹ , Ainoa Planas-Riverola ¹ , Oscar Molina [©] ¹ , Rebecca McDonald [©] ³ , Cedric Ghevaert ^{3,4} , Esther Cuatrecasa ⁵ , Dolors Costa ^{6,7,8} , Mireia Camós ^{9,10,11} , Clara Bue |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Accepted: 6 January 2023 | |
| Published online: 17 January 2023 | |
| Check for updates | Pablo Menéndez ^{1,12} , Alfonso Valencia ^{© 2,12} & Biola M. Javierre ^{© 7,13} |

Article | Open access | Published: 18 October 2024

Droplet Hi-C enables scalable, single-cell profiling of chromatin architecture in heterogeneous tissues

Lei Chang, Yang Xie, Brett Taylor, Zhaoning Wang, Jiachen Sun, Ethan J. Armand, Shreya Mishra, Jie Xu, Melodi Tastemel, Audrey Lie, Zane A. Gibbs, Hannah S. Indralingam, Tuyet M. Tan, Rafael Bejar, Clark C. Chen, Frank B. Furnari, Ming Hu & Bing Ren 🖾

Cell s



Resource

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-35911-8

eno¹,

ANALYSIS nttps://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01248-7

OPEN

nature methods

Check for updates

Systematic evaluation of chromosome conformation capture assays

Betul Akgol Oksuz^{1,10}, Liyan Yang^{1,10}, Sameer Abraham¹, Sergey V. Venev¹, Nils Krietenstein³, Krishna Mohan Parsi¹, Hakan Ozadam^{1,6}, Marlies E. Oomen¹, Ankita Nand¹, Hui Mao^{4,5}, Ryan M. J. Genga^{4,5}, Rene Maehr^{04,5}, Oliver J. Rando³, Leonid A. Mirny^{2,7,8}, Johan H. Gibcus¹ and Job Dekker ^[]

Chromosome conformation capture (3C) assays are used to map chromatin interactions genome-wide. Chromatin interaction maps provide insights into the spatial organization of chromosomes and the mechanisms by which they fold. Hi-C and Micro-C are widely used 3C protocols that differ in key experimental parameters including cross-linking chemistry and chromatin fragmentation strategy. To understand how the choice of experimental protocol determines the ability to detect and quantify aspects of chromosome folding we have performed a systematic evaluation of 3C experimental parameters. We identified optimal protocol variants for either loop or compartment detection, optimizing fragment size and cross-linking chemistry. We used this knowledge to develop a greatly improved Hi-C protocol (Hi-C 3.0) that can detect both loops and compartments relatively effectively. In addition to providing benchmarked protocols, this work produced ultra-deep chromatin interaction maps using Micro-C, conventional Hi-C and Hi-C 3.0 for key cell lines used by the 4D Nucleome project.

hromosome conformation capture (3C)-based assays¹ have influence the detection of chromatin interaction frequencies and - interaction maps². Analysis of chromatin interaction maps from local looping between small intra-chromosomal (cis) elehas led to detection of several features of the folded genome. Such ments to global compartmentalization of megabase-sized domains. features include precise looping interactions (at the 0.1-1 Mb Here, we systematically assessed how different cross-linking and scale) between pairs of specific sites that appear as local dots in fragmentation methods yield quantitatively different chromatin interaction maps. Many of such dots represent loops formed by interaction maps. cohesin-mediated loop extrusion that is stalled at convergent CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF) sites³⁻⁵. Loop extrusion also pro- **Results** duces other features in interaction maps such as stripe-like patterns We explored how two key parameters of 3C-based protocols, anchored at specific sites that block loop extrusion. The effective cross-linking and chromatin fragmentation, determine the abildepletion of interactions across such blocking sites leads to domain ity to quantitatively detect chromatin compartment domains and boundaries (insulation). At the megabase scale, interaction maps of loops. We selected three cross-linkers widely used for chromatin: many organisms including mammals display checkerboard patterns 1% formaldehyde (FA), conventional for most 3C-based protocols; that represent the spatial compartmentalization of two main types 1% FA followed by incubation with 3 mM disuccinimidyl glutarate of chromatin: active and open A-type chromatin domains, and inactive and more closed B-type chromatin domains⁶.

ing cross-linking and chromatin fragmentation, quantitatively differentiated endoderm (DE) cells derived from H1-hESCs, fully

become widely used to generate genome-wide chromatin the detection of different chromosome folding features that range

(the FA + DSG protocol); and 1% FA followed by incubation with 3 mM ethylene glycol bis(succinimidylsuccinate) (the FA+EGS The Hi-C protocol has evolved over the years. While initial protocols used restriction enzymes such as HindIII that produces rela- matin fragmentation: MNase, DdeI, DpnII and HindIII, which tively large fragments of several kilobases⁶, over the last 5 years Hi-C fragment chromatin in sizes ranging from single nucleosomes to using DpnII or MboI digestion has become the protocol of choice multiple kilobases. Combined, the three cross-linking and four for mapping chromatin interactions at kilobase resolution³. More fragmentation strategies yield a matrix of 12 distinct protocols (Fig. recently, Micro-C, which uses MNase instead of restriction enzymes 1b). To determine how performance of these protocols varies for as well as a different cross-linking protocol, was shown to allow different states of chromatin we applied this matrix of protocols to generation of nucleosome-level interaction maps⁷⁻⁹. It is critical to multiple cell types and cell cycle stages. We analyzed four different ascertain how key parameters of these 3C-based methods, includ- cell types: pluripotent H1 human embryonic stem cells (H1-hESCs),

Program in Systems Biology, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA. ²Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA. ³Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA. ⁴Program in Molecular Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA. ⁵Program in Molecular Medicine, Diabetes Center of Excellence, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA. ⁶Department of Molecular Biosciences, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA. ⁷Institute for Medical Engineering and Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA. ⁸Graduate Program in Biophysics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA. ⁹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD, USA. ¹⁰These authors contributed equally: Betul Akgol Oksuz, Liyan Yang. ⁵²e-mail: Johan.Gibcus@umassmed.edu; lob Dekker@umassmed.edu

Hi-C 3.0

Akgol Oksuz, et al. Nature Methods 2021



Fig. 1 | Outline of the experimental design. a, Experimental design for conformation capture for various cells, cross-linkers and enzymes. b, Representation of interaction maps from experiments in a.

differentiated human foreskin fibroblast (HFF) cells (12 protocols Extra cross-linking yields more intra-chromosomal contacts. for each), and HeLa-S3 cells (9 protocols). We analyzed two cell Given that chromosomes occupy individual territories, intracycle stages: G1 and mitosis, in HeLa-S3 cells (9 protocols for each; chromosomal (cis) interactions are more frequent than inter-Fig. 1). Each interaction library was then sequenced on a single lane chromosomal (trans) interactions¹⁴. The cis:trans ratio is of a HiSeq4000 instrument, producing ~150-200 million uniquely commonly used as an indicator of Hi-C library quality given that mapping read pairs (Supplementary Table 1). We used the Distiller inter-chromosomal interactions are a mixture of true chromatin pipeline to align the sequencing reads, and pairtools and cooler¹⁰ interactions and interactions that are the result of random ligapackages to process mapped reads and create multi-resolution tions^{14,15}. For all enzymes and cell types, we found that the addicontact maps (Methods). Given that the density of restriction sites tion of DSG or EGS to FA cross-linking decreased the percentage for DdeI, DpnII and HindIII fluctuates along chromosomes, we of trans interactions (Fig. 2a for HFF and Extended Data Fig. 2a for observed different read coverages in raw interaction maps obtained H1-hESC, DE, HeLa-S3). from datasets using these enzymes (Extended Data Fig. 1h). These differences were removed after matrix balancing¹¹.

Data Fig. 1b).

cell type similarity, for example H1-hESCs and H1-hESC-derived chromatin compaction. DE cells cluster together; and the most distinct cluster is formed by mitotic HeLa cells. MNase protocols show slightly lower correla- fusing fragments lead to noise that is mostly seen in trans and tions with Hi-C experiments.

Regarding intra-chromosomal interactions, we noticed two distinct patterns. First, digestion into smaller fragments increased We first assessed the size range of the chromatin fragments pro-short-range interactions. MNase digestion generated more interacduced after digestion by the 12 protocols for HFF cells (Methods). tions between loci separated by less than 10 kb, whereas digestion Digestion with HindIII resulted in 5-20-kb DNA fragments; with either DdeI, DpnII or HindIII resulted in a relatively larger DpnII and DdeI produced fragments of 0.5–5kb; and MNase number of interactions between loci separated by more than 10kb protocols included a size selection step to ensure that the liga- (Fig. 2a,b for HFF and Extended Data Fig. 2a,b for DE, H1-hESC, tion product involved two mononucleosome-sized fragments HeLa-S3). Second, P(s) plots showed that the addition of either (~150bp) (Extended Data Fig. 1). Different cross-linkers did not DSG or EGS resulted in a steeper decay in interaction frequency affect the size ranges produced by the different nucleases, although as a function of genomic distance for all fragmentation protocols. DSG cross-linking lowered digestion efficiency slightly (Extended Moreover, for a given chromatin fragmentation level, additional cross-linking with DSG or EGS reduced trans interactions, as shown for HFF cells and all other cell types and cell stages stud-All 3C-based protocols can differentiate between cell states. We ied (Fig. 2c,d and Extended Data Fig. 2c). The addition of DSG or first assessed the similarity between the 63 datasets by global and EGS could have reduced fragment mobility and the formation of pairwise correlations using HiCRep and hierarchical clustering spurious ligations, resulting in a steeper slope of the P(s). We note (Extended Data Fig. 1c)^{12,13}. We found that the datasets are highly a difference in slopes for data obtained with different cell types and correlated and cluster primarily by cell type and state and then by cell cycle stages, which could reflect state-dependent differences in

> Random ligation events between un-cross-linked, freely diflong-range cis interactions. Experiments that use DpnII and

NATURE METHODS | VOL 18 | SEPTEMBER 2021 | 1046-1055 | www.nature.com/naturemethods

Hierarchical genome organisation

Lieberman-Aiden, E., et al. (2009). Science, 326(5950), 289–293. Rao, S. S. P., et al. (2014). Cell, 1–29.







5

Sal -



Picture from the book: Castells i Castellers. Una voluntat col·lectiva.

Fossilized chromosomes from woolly mammoth





Cell. Volume 187 (14) July 11, 2024.







Marcela Sandoval Velasco (ex) Gilbert Lab



Olga Dudchenko Aiden Lab



Juan Antonio Rodríguez (ex) Marti-Renom Lab



Cynthia Perez Estrada (ex) Aiden Lab

What happens to the nucleus in 10s of thousands of years?







A "whoolly" phenomenal sample

Photo credit: Chris Waddle

Dan Fisher UMich, Museum of Paleontology

Valeri Plotnikov Sakha Academy of Sciences

- Found in permafrost in the summer of 2018
- Belaya Gora in Yakutia, Russia
- Date >45,000 years





Paleo-HiC complements ancient DNA-seq

Limitations of (a)DNA-Seq

What is in the genome?

Need chrom-length de novo assemblies! aDNA-Seq relies on modern references

What is expressed in individual tissues? Need to probe transcriptional activity!

How expression patterns arise? Need to probe genetic regulation!

Paleo-HiC improves endogenous long-range contact recovery





Hi-C assisted assembly

Dubchenko et al. Science. 2017 Apr 7;356(6333):92-95

Initialize with horse assembly



Final donkey assembly

correct · split · orient · order

This is a Hi-C from mammoth

PaleoHi-C vs Loxafr3.0, fragmentary African elephant assembly



based on Loxafr3.0

PaleoHi-C vs MamPri_Loxafr3.0_assisted_HiC, chromosome-length mammoth assembly

=300

3D assisted assembly

Paleo-HiC complements ancient DNA-seq

Limitations of (a)DNA-Seq

What is in the genome?

Need chrom-length de novo assemblies! aDNA-Seq relies on modern references



What is expressed in individual tissues? Need to probe transcriptional activity!

How expression patterns arise? Need to probe genetic regulation! Hallmarks of a successful Hi-C experiment

- Chromosome territories Facilitates de novo assembly of whole chromosomes

Compartments preserved in a 52K years old sample







Tissue specific compartmentalization



chr18

chr18

chr18



chr18

Mammoth Altered Regulation Sequences (MARS)



Paleo-HiC complements ancient DNA-seq

Limitations of (a)DNA-Seq

What is in the genome?

Need chrom-length de novo assemblies! aDNA-Seq relies on modern references

What is expressed in individual tissues? Need to probe transcriptional activity!

How expression patterns arise? Need to probe genetic regulation!





Hallmarks of a successful Hi-C experiment

- Chromosome territories Facilitates de novo assembly of whole chromosomes
- Active and inactive chromatin compartments Probes Transcriptional activity

Paleo-hic recovers loop signatures!

Rao, Huntley et al., Cell 2014



Paleo-HiC complements ancient DNA-seq

Limitations of (a)DNA-Seq

What is in the genome?

Need chrom-length de novo assemblies! aDNA-Seq relies on modern references

What is expressed in individual tissues? Need to probe transcriptional activity!

How expression patterns arise? Need to probe genetic regulation!





Hallmarks of a successful Hi-C experiment

- Chromosome territories Facilitates de novo assembly of whole chromosomes
- Active and inactive chromatin compartments Probes Transcriptional activity
- Chromatin Loops Reveals regulation of individual genes

How is this possible? (q.k.a. reviewer #3) The "chromoglass" hypothesis

Initial structure











How is this possible? (q.k.a. reviewer #3)

177 100Kb 4.02 3.29 00Kb 2.02 3.14 - 100Kb 100Kb D



100Kb

D

- 100Kb

D



00Kb

U

- 100Kb











The "chromoglass" hypothesis



THREE-DIMENSIONAL GENOME ARCHITECTURE PERSISTS IN A 52,000-YEAR-OLD WOOLLY **MAMMOTH SKIN SAMPLE**

Marcela Sandoval-Velasco[#], Olga Dudchenko^{#,†}, Juan Antonio Rodríguez#, Cynthia Pérez Estrada#, Marianne Dehasque, Claudia Fontsere, Sarah S.T. Mak, Rugayya Khan, Vinícius G. Contessoto, Antonio B. Oliveira Junior, Achyuth Kalluchi, Bernardo J. Zubillaga Herrera, Jiyun Jeong, Renata P. Roy, Ishawnia Christopher, David Weisz, Arina D. Omer, Sanjit S. Batra, Muhammad S. Shamim, Neva C. Durand, Brendan O'Connell, Alfred L. Roca, Maksim V. Plikus, Mariya A. Kusliy, Svetlana A. Romanenko, Natalya A. Lemskaya, Natalya A. Serdyukova, Svetlana A. Modina, Polina L. Perelman, Elena A. Kizilova, Sergei I. Baiborodin, Nikolai B. Rubtsov, Gur Machol, Krisha Rath, Ragini Mahajan, Parwinder Kaur, Andreas Gnirke, Isabel Garcia-Treviño, Rob Coke, Joseph P. Flanagan, Kelcie Pletch, Aurora Ruiz-Herrera, Valerii Plotnikov, Innokentiy S. Pavlov, Naryya I. Pavlova, Albert V. Protopopov, Michele Di Pierro, Alexander S. Graphodatsky, Eric S. Lander, M. Jordan Rowley, Peter G. Wolynes, José N. Onuchic, Love

Cell 2024

Dalén, Marc A. Marti-Renom[†], M. Thomas P. Gilbert[†], Erez Lieberman Aiden[†]







Chromatin loops are an ancestral hallmark of the animal regulatory genome



lana Kim et al. with the Sebé-Pedrós Lab (CRG)

Nature 2025 (642) 1097–1105



Increasing complexity of multicellular organisms relies on the origin and diversification of cell types



Elek A, Sebe-Pedros A. In-house cell atlas database.

How does chromatin organization evolve to control increasingly complex genomes?

























http://marciuslab.org

Alexander Barclay Nikolai Bykov lana Kim Peter Hoboth Anne Lee lago Maceda John Markham Maria Marti-Marimon Anastasiia Nikitina Ana Nikolovska Mireia Novell Meritxell Novillo Maria Roy Aleksandra Sparavier Leo Zuber

.: Our current sponsors :.

Generalitat de Catalunya

National Human Genome Research Institute

